

withdrawal from the University of Arkansas.

My last job within the five year time limit was at Sonic Drive-In at 728 N Main St, Harrison, AR 72601, between the months of June 2006 and November 2006. Their phone number is (870) 741-5300. I was a cook and carhop and left due to getting less than six hours per week.

4. 3. State in detail each and every act the Defendants did to discriminate against you, as stated in your complaint, including the date of such act.

Answer: Richardson constantly sent me home early, every day, always monitored me every minute that I worked there, and ultimately fired me after just one week, without giving me enough time to actually learn how to do the job, due to me being tactless to the customers.

5. 4. Identify all persons who were eyewitnesses to all or any part of the occurrences mentioned in your answer to the previous interrogatory or all persons who have any knowledge of occurrence or occurrences.

Nobody at the Defendants' company were eyewitnesses to the discrimination. However, Rita Stebbins witnessed my depression first-hand.

6. 5. List each item of damage which you claim, state its dollar value, how it is calculated, and the date in which it was incurred or is expected to be incurred, and identify all documents referring or relating to each such item or calculation.

I want five years of lost wages. I made eight dollars per hour. If I worked 40 hours per week, 50 weeks per year, I would make \$16,000 per year. Multiply that by five, and that equals \$80,000.

I also seek \$50,000 in punitive damages for their reckless disregard of my federally-protected rights when committing the constant monitoring and constant cutting of my work hours, specified in my answer to the previous interrogatory.

I also seek \$50,000 in punitive damages for their reckless disregard of my federally-protected rights when wrongfully-terminating me as specified in my complaint.

I also seek \$50,000 for negligent infliction of emotional distress for causing me to literally contemplate suicide.

I also seek five years worth of health care, to accommodate for the lack of adult Medicaid that I will incur when I collect on this judgment.

7. 6. Identify all persons whom you expect to call as expert witnesses at trial, and for each such expert state the general nature of the subject matter on which each expert is expected to testify.

Answer: I will not require any expert witnesses, anymore. At first, it appeared that I might, but there is no longer any need to. The only fact I would need an expert to prove is that I have Asperger Syndrome. However, Defendants have previously claimed that they knew about my Asperger Syndrome, but were merely confused about its status as a "disability." To prove my case now, all I need to do is prove that either 1) he did know that it was a disability, or 2) his confusion was not based on any reasonable grounds, thus, he *should* have known. This is not something that an expert can help me with, and, as such, I do not require an expert.

10. 1. Identify all documents upon which you rely to establish proof of your claim against the Defendants and your damages claimed as a result.

Answer: I will use the documents obtained in this discovery to establish proof of my claim.

11. 2. Describe in detail the "hostile work environment" as alleged in your complaint.

Answer: I have already answered this question in my amended response to interrogatory #4.

12. 3. Describe in detail your alleged disability of "Asperger Syndrome", including the symptoms and results of such disability.

Answer: Asperger Syndrome is a neurological disability that makes me oblivious to social cues. This often results in an outward manifestation of tactlessness because I do not *know* when a person appears irritated at my behavior.

13. 4. Describe the "harassment" executed by Richardson as alleged in your complaint.

Answer: I have already answered this question in my amended response to interrogatory #4.

14. 5. Describe how you were "wrongfully terminated" from your job as alleged in your complaint.

Answer: I have already answered this question in my amended response to interrogatory #4.

15. 6. Describe what "reasonable accommodations" would have permitted you to perform the job as alleged in your complaint.

Answer: I *was* performing the job, as alleged in my complaint. Even without an accommodation, I was performing the *essential* functions of the job, just fine. The only reason I was fired was for offending the customers. However, this is not an essential function for the job. I watched a video on the website of www.ada.gov, where it listed ten myths about the Americans with Disabilities Act. The ninth myth was "This is a service business. Having a disabled employee would make my customers uncomfortable. They make *me* uncomfortable." The response to that one was "Being uncomfortable is NOT a reason to reject an applicant that is [otherwise] qualified." If you want to look at the video, go to this webpage:

http://www.ada.gov/videogallery.htm#10_employmentmyths_captioned

So, in other words, if the customers are upset about my tactlessness, the only thing the Defendants can do is explain my disability to them and let them live with it.

That is my amended answer to the Defendants' first interrogatories.

David Stebbins
1407 N Spring Rd,
Harrison, AR 72601
870-204-6024
stebbinsd@yahoo.com